

A Combinatorial, Primal-Dual Approach to Semidefinite Programs (Paper Presentation)

Pankaj Prateek
Akshay Kumar

IIT Kanpur

Outline

Primal-Dual Schema

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Extension to SDP

Application to MAXCUT

Problems

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Undirected SPARSEST CUT

References

Appendix

Outline

Primal-Dual Schema

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Extension to SDP

Application to MAXCUT

Problems

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Undirected SPARSEST CUT

References

Appendix

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Primal

$$\min \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \geq b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$x_j \geq 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Primal

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \geq b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & x_j \geq 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

Dual

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \leq c_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n \\ & y_i \geq 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Primal

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \geq b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & x_j \geq 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

Dual

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \leq c_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n \\ & y_i \geq 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

Primal Complementary Slackness Conditions

Let $\alpha \geq 1$.

Then for each $1 \leq j \leq n$: either $x_j = 0$ or $c_j/\alpha \leq \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \leq c_j$.

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Primal

$$\min \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \geq b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$x_j \geq 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

Dual

$$\max \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \leq c_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$y_i \geq 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

Primal Complementary Slackness Conditions

Let $\alpha \geq 1$.

Then for each $1 \leq j \leq n$: either $x_j = 0$ or $c_j/\alpha \leq \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \leq c_j$.

Dual Complementary Slackness Conditions

Let $\beta \geq 1$.

Then for each $1 \leq i \leq m$: either $y_i = 0$ or $b_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq \beta \cdot b_i$.

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Theorem

If \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are primal and dual feasible solutions satisfying the conditions stated above then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \leq \alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i$$

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Theorem

If \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are primal and dual feasible solutions satisfying the conditions stated above then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \leq \alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i$$

Proof

$$\sum_j c_j x_j \leq \alpha \cdot \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x_j y_i \leq \alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \sum_i b_i y_i$$

The first inequality follows from the Primal Complementary Slackness Condition whereas the second follows from the Dual Complementary Slackness Condition.

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Algorithm

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Algorithm

- ▶ Start with a primal infeasible solution and dual feasible solution, typically $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$.

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Algorithm

- ▶ Start with a primal infeasible solution and dual feasible solution, typically $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$.
- ▶ Iteratively improve the feasibility of the primal solution and optimality of the dual solution ensuring that a primal feasible solution is obtained in the end and all conditions are satisfied for a suitable choice of α and β .

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Algorithm

- ▶ Start with a primal infeasible solution and dual feasible solution, typically $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$.
- ▶ Iteratively improve the feasibility of the primal solution and optimality of the dual solution ensuring that a primal feasible solution is obtained in the end and all conditions are satisfied for a suitable choice of α and β .
- ▶ The primal solution is always extended integrally to ensure final solution is integral. Need not be true for dual solution.

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Algorithm

- ▶ Start with a primal infeasible solution and dual feasible solution, typically $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$.
- ▶ Iteratively improve the feasibility of the primal solution and optimality of the dual solution ensuring that a primal feasible solution is obtained in the end and all conditions are satisfied for a suitable choice of α and β .
- ▶ The primal solution is always extended integrally to ensure final solution is integral. Need not be true for dual solution.
- ▶ Cost of dual solution used as lower bound on OPT.

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Algorithm

- ▶ Start with a primal infeasible solution and dual feasible solution, typically $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$.
- ▶ Iteratively improve the feasibility of the primal solution and optimality of the dual solution ensuring that a primal feasible solution is obtained in the end and all conditions are satisfied for a suitable choice of α and β .
- ▶ The primal solution is always extended integrally to ensure final solution is integral. Need not be true for dual solution.
- ▶ Cost of dual solution used as lower bound on OPT.
- ▶ Approximation ratio of $\alpha\beta$ by the theorem on previous slide.

Outline

Primal-Dual Schema

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Extension to SDP

Application to MAXCUT

Problems

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Undirected SPARSEST CUT

References

Appendix

Primal-Dual Schema for SDP

Primal-Dual Schema for SDP

Primal-Dual Schema for SDP

Primal

$$\max \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \mathbf{A}_j \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq b_j \quad \forall j \in [m]$$

$$\mathbf{X} \succeq \mathbf{0}$$

Primal-Dual Schema for SDP

Primal

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{A}_j \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq b_j \quad \forall j \in [m] \\ & \mathbf{X} \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Dual

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{y} \\ & \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_j y_j \succeq \mathbf{C} \\ & \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Primal-Dual Schema for SDP

Primal

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{A}_j \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq b_j \quad \forall j \in [m] \\ & \mathbf{X} \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Dual

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{y} \\ & \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_j y_j \succeq \mathbf{C} \\ & \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Primal-Dual Schema for SDP

Primal

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{A}_j \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq b_j \quad \forall j \in [m] \\ & \mathbf{X} \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Dual

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{y} \\ & \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_j y_j \succeq \mathbf{C} \\ & \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $\mathbf{y} = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$ is the dual variable and $\mathbf{b} = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \rangle$.

Primal-Dual Schema for SDP

Primal

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{A}_j \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq b_j \quad \forall j \in [m] \\ & \mathbf{X} \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Dual

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{y} \\ & \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_j y_j \succeq \mathbf{C} \\ & \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $\mathbf{y} = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$ is the dual variable and $\mathbf{b} = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \rangle$.
- ▶ Strong Duality holds under Slater Condition.

Primal-Dual Schema for SDP

Primal

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{A}_j \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq b_j \quad \forall j \in [m] \\ & \mathbf{X} \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Dual

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{y} \\ & \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_j y_j \succeq \mathbf{C} \\ & \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $\mathbf{y} = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$ is the dual variable and $\mathbf{b} = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \rangle$.
- ▶ Strong Duality holds under Slater Condition.
- ▶ Assume $\mathbf{A}_1 = \mathbf{I}$ and $b_1 = R$ to get $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}) \leq R$ i.e. a simple scaling constant. Present in most of SDP relaxation combinatorial optimization problems.

Computing near optimal solution of a SDP using Primal-Dual Approach

- ▶ Let current guess for optimum be α .

Computing near optimal solution of a SDP using Primal-Dual Approach

- ▶ Let current guess for optimum be α .
- ▶ Algorithm either tries to construct a primal feasible PSD matrix \mathbf{X} with objective value $> \alpha$ or a dual feasible solution with value at most $(1 + \delta)\alpha$ for arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$.

Computing near optimal solution of a SDP using Primal-Dual Approach

- ▶ Let current guess for optimum be α .
- ▶ Algorithm either tries to construct a primal feasible PSD matrix \mathbf{X} with objective value $> \alpha$ or a dual feasible solution with value at most $(1 + \delta)\alpha$ for arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$.
- ▶ Iteratively constructs $\mathbf{X}^{(1)}, \mathbf{X}^{(2)}, \mathbf{X}^{(3)}, \dots$

Computing near optimal solution of a SDP using Primal-Dual Approach

- ▶ Let current guess for optimum be α .
- ▶ Algorithm either tries to construct a primal feasible PSD matrix \mathbf{X} with objective value $> \alpha$ or a dual feasible solution with value at most $(1 + \delta)\alpha$ for arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$.
- ▶ Iteratively constructs $\mathbf{X}^{(1)}, \mathbf{X}^{(2)}, \mathbf{X}^{(3)}, \dots$
- ▶ Starts with $\mathbf{X}^{(1)} = \frac{R}{n}\mathbf{I}$.

Computing near optimal solution of a SDP using Primal-Dual Approach

- ▶ Let current guess for optimum be α .
- ▶ Algorithm either tries to construct a primal feasible PSD matrix \mathbf{X} with objective value $> \alpha$ or a dual feasible solution with value at most $(1 + \delta)\alpha$ for arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$.
- ▶ Iteratively constructs $\mathbf{X}^{(1)}, \mathbf{X}^{(2)}, \mathbf{X}^{(3)}, \dots$
- ▶ Starts with $\mathbf{X}^{(1)} = \frac{R}{n}\mathbf{I}$.
- ▶ Takes help from an auxiliary algorithm ORACLE. ORACLE's task is to:

Computing near optimal solution of a SDP using Primal-Dual Approach

- ▶ Let current guess for optimum be α .
- ▶ Algorithm either tries to construct a primal feasible PSD matrix \mathbf{X} with objective value $> \alpha$ or a dual feasible solution with value at most $(1 + \delta)\alpha$ for arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$.
- ▶ Iteratively constructs $\mathbf{X}^{(1)}, \mathbf{X}^{(2)}, \mathbf{X}^{(3)}, \dots$
- ▶ Starts with $\mathbf{X}^{(1)} = \frac{R}{n}\mathbf{I}$.
- ▶ Takes help from an auxiliary algorithm ORACLE. ORACLE's task is to:
 - ▶ Find \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{y} such that $\mathbf{X} \succeq 0$ and $\mathbf{y} \geq 0$

Computing near optimal solution of a SDP using Primal-Dual Approach

- ▶ Let current guess for optimum be α .
- ▶ Algorithm either tries to construct a primal feasible PSD matrix \mathbf{X} with objective value $> \alpha$ or a dual feasible solution with value at most $(1 + \delta)\alpha$ for arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$.
- ▶ Iteratively constructs $\mathbf{X}^{(1)}, \mathbf{X}^{(2)}, \mathbf{X}^{(3)}, \dots$
- ▶ Starts with $\mathbf{X}^{(1)} = \frac{R}{n}\mathbf{I}$.
- ▶ Takes help from an auxillary algorithm ORACLE. ORACLE's task is to:
 - ▶ Find \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{y} such that $\mathbf{X} \succeq 0$ and $\mathbf{y} \geq 0$
 - ▶ Try to produce a feasible dual by the end whose value is at most $(1 + \delta)\alpha$ for some arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$

MWT

MWT Algorithm

Fix $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ and let $\epsilon' = -\ln(1 - \epsilon)$. In every round t , for $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

MWT Algorithm

Fix $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ and let $\epsilon' = -\ln(1 - \epsilon)$. In every round t , for $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

1. Compute

$$\mathbf{W}^{(t)} = (1 - \epsilon)^{\sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \mathbf{M}^{(\tau)}} = \exp\left(-\epsilon' \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \mathbf{M}^{(\tau)}\right)\right)$$

MWT Algorithm

Fix $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ and let $\epsilon' = -\ln(1 - \epsilon)$. In every round t , for $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

1. Compute

$$\mathbf{W}^{(t)} = (1 - \epsilon)^{\sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \mathbf{M}^{(\tau)}} = \exp \left(-\epsilon' \left(\sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \mathbf{M}^{(\tau)} \right) \right)$$

2. Use the density matrix $\mathbf{P}^{(t)} = \frac{\mathbf{W}^{(t)}}{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{W}^{(t)})}$ and observe the event $\mathbf{M}^{(t)}$.

MWT Theorem

The Matrix Multiplicative Weights algorithm generates density matrices $\mathbf{P}^{(1)}, \mathbf{P}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{P}^{(T)}$ such that:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{M}^{(t)} \bullet \mathbf{P}^{(t)} \leq (1 + \epsilon) \lambda_n \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{M}^{(t)} \right) + \frac{\ln n}{\epsilon}$$

MWT Theorem

The Matrix Multiplicative Weights algorithm generates density matrices $\mathbf{P}^{(1)}, \mathbf{P}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{P}^{(T)}$ such that:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{M}^{(t)} \bullet \mathbf{P}^{(t)} \leq (1 + \epsilon) \lambda_n \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{M}^{(t)} \right) + \frac{\ln n}{\epsilon}$$

Proof Idea

Track changes in $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{W}^{(t)})$ over time and use Golden-Thompson inequality.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{W}^{(t+1)}) &= \mathrm{Tr}(\exp(-\epsilon' \sum_{\tau=1}^t \mathbf{M}^{(\tau)})) \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr}(\exp(-\epsilon' \sum_{\tau=1}^{t-1} \mathbf{M}^{(\tau)}) \exp(-\epsilon' \mathbf{M}^{(t)})) \\ &= \mathbf{W}^{(t)} \bullet \exp(-\epsilon' \mathbf{M}^{(t)}) \\ &\leq \mathbf{W}^{(t)} \bullet (\mathbf{I} - \epsilon \mathbf{M}^{(t)}) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{W}^{(t)}) \cdot (1 - \epsilon \mathbf{M}^{(t)} \bullet \mathbf{P}^{(t)}) \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{W}^{(t)}) \cdot \exp(-\epsilon \mathbf{M}^{(t)} \bullet \mathbf{P}^{(t)})\end{aligned}$$

Proof

Since $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{W}^1) = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{I}) = n$, by induction,

$$\text{Tr}(\mathbf{W}^{T+1}) \leq n \exp\left(-\epsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{M}^{(t)} \bullet \mathbf{P}^{(t)}\right)$$

On the other hand, since $\text{Tr}(e^{\mathbf{A}}) = \sum_{k=1}^n e^{\lambda_k(\mathbf{A})} \geq e^{\lambda_n(\mathbf{A})}$,

$$\text{Tr}(\mathbf{W}^{T+1}) = \text{Tr}\left(\exp\left(-\epsilon' \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{M}^{(t)}\right)\right) \geq \exp\left(-\epsilon' \lambda_n\left(\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{M}^{(t)}\right)\right)$$

Thus,

$$\exp\left(-\epsilon' \lambda_n\left(\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{M}^{(t)}\right)\right) \leq n \exp\left(-\epsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{M}^{(t)} \bullet \mathbf{P}^{(t)}\right)$$

- ▶ A feasible dual is the one in which the slack matrix $\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_j y_j - \mathbf{C} \succeq 0$

- ▶ A feasible dual is the one in which the slack matrix $\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_j y_j - \mathbf{C} \succeq 0$
- ▶ MWT theorem replaces ORACLE's task to be replaced by the following steps:

- ▶ A feasible dual is the one in which the slack matrix $\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_j y_j - \mathbf{C} \succeq 0$
- ▶ MWT theorem replaces ORACLE's task to be replaced by the following steps:
 - ▶ Focus on finding a slack matrix which has a non-negative inner product with the current solution matrix $\mathbf{X}^{(t)}$

- ▶ A feasible dual is the one in which the slack matrix $\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_j y_j - \mathbf{C} \succeq 0$
- ▶ MWT theorem replaces ORACLE's task to be replaced by the following steps:
 - ▶ Focus on finding a slack matrix which has a non-negative inner product with the current solution matrix $\mathbf{X}^{(t)}$
 - ▶ If the ORACLE manages to do this even for a small number of steps, MWT theorem guarantees that the average slack matrix over these steps would be almost psd

- ▶ A feasible dual is the one in which the slack matrix $\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_j y_j - \mathbf{C} \succeq 0$
- ▶ MWT theorem replaces ORACLE's task to be replaced by the following steps:
 - ▶ Focus on finding a slack matrix which has a non-negative inner product with the current solution matrix $\mathbf{X}^{(t)}$
 - ▶ If the ORACLE manages to do this even for a small number of steps, MWT theorem guarantees that the average slack matrix over these steps would be almost psd
 - ▶ Saving:
Producing a psd matrix \rightarrow linear condition

Computing near optimal solution of a SDP using Primal-Dual Approach

Description of ORACLE

ORACLE searches for a vector \mathbf{b} from the polytope

$\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \{\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{y} \leq \alpha\}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m (\mathbf{A}_j \bullet \mathbf{X}^{(t)}) y_j - (\mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X}^{(t)}) \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

If ORACLE succeeds in finding such a \mathbf{y} then $\mathbf{X}^{(t)}$ is either primal infeasible or has value $\mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X}^{(t)} \leq \alpha$.

Proof. Suppose this is not the case. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^m (\mathbf{A}_j \bullet \mathbf{X}^{(t)}) y_j - (\mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X}^{(t)}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^m b_j y_j - (\mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X}^{(t)}) < \alpha - \alpha = 0$$

which contradicts (1)

Computing near optimal solution of a SDP using Primal-Dual Approach

- ▶ If ORACLE declares that there is no such $y \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$, then $\mathbf{X}^{(t)}$ is a primal feasible solution with objective value at least α
- ▶ \mathbf{y} need not be dual feasible
- ▶ Primal-Dual SDP algorithm depends on width parameter, ρ .

width of ORACLE

Smallest ρ such that for every primal candidate \mathbf{X} , the vector $y \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ returned by the ORACLE satisfies $\|\mathbf{A}_j y_j - \mathbf{C}\| \leq \rho$

- ▶ Higher width equals slow progress

Computing near optimal solution of a SDP using Primal-Dual Approach

Primal-Dual Algorithm for SDP

Set $\mathbf{X}^{(1)} = \frac{R}{n} \mathbf{I}$. Let $\epsilon = \frac{\delta\alpha}{2\rho R}$, and let $\epsilon' = -\ln(1 - \epsilon)$. Let $T = \frac{8\rho^2 R^2 \ln(n)}{\delta^2 \alpha^2}$. For $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$:

1. Run the ORACLE with candidate solution $\mathbf{X}^{(t)}$.
2. If the ORACLE fails, stop and output $\mathbf{X}^{(t)}$.
3. Else, let $\mathbf{y}^{(t)}$ be the vector generated by ORACLE.
4. Let $\mathbf{M}^{(t)} = (\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_j y_j^{(t)} - \mathbf{C} + \rho \mathbf{I}) / 2\rho$.
5. Compute $\mathbf{W}^{(t+1)} = (1 - \epsilon)^{\sum_{\tau=1}^t \mathbf{M}^{(\tau)}} = \exp\left(-\epsilon' (\sum_{\tau=1}^t \mathbf{M}^{(\tau)})\right)$.
6. Set $\mathbf{X}^{(t+1)} = \frac{R\mathbf{W}^{(t+1)}}{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{W}^{(t+1)})}$ and continue.

Computing near optimal solution of a SDP using Primal-Dual Approach

Theorem 1

In the Primal-Dual SDP algorithm, assume that the ORACLE never fails for $T = \frac{8\rho^2 R^2 \ln(n)}{\delta^2 \alpha^2}$ iterations. Let $\bar{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\delta \alpha}{R} \mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{y}^{(t)}$. Then $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$ is a feasible dual solution with objective value at most $(1 + \delta)\alpha$.

Proof.

...mmw used...



Outline

Primal-Dual Schema

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Extension to SDP

Application to MAXCUT

Problems

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Undirected SPARSEST CUT

References

Appendix

Approximating MAXCUT SDP for d -regular graphs

MAXCUT SDP in vector and matrix form (ignoring a factor of $\frac{1}{4}$).

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \\ \forall i \in [n] \quad & \|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \\ \forall i \in [n] \quad & \mathbf{X}_{ii} \leq 1 \\ & \mathbf{X} \succeq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Dual of SDP:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ & \text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) \succeq \mathbf{C} \\ \forall i \in [n] \quad & x_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ \mathbf{C} is the combinatorial Laplacian of the graph.
- ▶ $\text{diag}(\mathbf{x})$ is the diagonal matrix with the vector \mathbf{x} on the diagonal.

Approximating MAXCUT SDP for d -regular graphs

Combinatorial Laplacian of a graph

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}$$

where \mathbf{D} is the degree matrix of the graph (diagonal matrix with diagonal entries as the number of edges incident on that vertex) and \mathbf{A} is the adjacency matrix the graph.

- ▶ Intuitively, $\mathbf{C}_{ii} = \sum_{i \neq j} c_{\{i,j\}}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{ij} = -c_{ij}$
- ▶ If d is maximum degree of the graph, then $\mathbf{0} \preceq \mathbf{C} \preceq 2d\mathbf{I}$.
(Proof: Using $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \geq 0$)
- ▶ If \mathbf{v}_i are the vectors obtained from the Cholesky decomposition of \mathbf{X} , then $\mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} = \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} c_{\{i,j\}} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2$
- ▶ When G is d -regular, $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{d}\mathbf{A}$

Approximating MAXCUT SDP for d -regular graphs

- ▶ $nd \leq \alpha \leq 3nd$ (Property of d -regular graph).
- ▶ Trace of optimal \mathbf{X} is n .
- ▶ If width parameter ρ is $O(d)$, then number of iterations is $O(\log n)$.
- ▶ Each invocation of ORACLE and matrix exponentiation takes $\tilde{O}(m)$ time.
 - ▶ Approximate Matrix Exponentiation by Johnson-Lindenstrauss Dimension Reduction.
 - ▶ Number of non-zero matrix entries in \mathbf{C} is $O(m)$.

Approximating MAXCUT SDP for d -regular graphs:

Description of ORACLE

- ▶ Given a candidate solution \mathbf{X} , find a vector $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ such that $\sum_i x_i \leq \alpha$ and $\sum_i x_i \mathbf{X}_{ii} - \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq 0$
- ▶ Intuitively, to make $\sum_i x_i \mathbf{X}_{ii}$ as large as possible, make x_i large whenever \mathbf{X}_{ii} is large.
- ▶ However, also ensure that $x_i \leq O(\frac{\alpha}{n}) = O(d)$ to ensure the width bound: $\|\text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{C}\| \leq O(d)$

Approximating MAXCUT SDP for d -regular graphs: Description of ORACLE

1. $\mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq \alpha$. Set all $x_i = \frac{\alpha}{n}$. Since $\sum_i \mathbf{X}_{ii} = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}) = n$,

$$\sum_i x_i \mathbf{X}_{ii} - \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum_i \mathbf{X}_{ii} - \alpha = 0$$

2. $\mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq \alpha$. Let $\mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} = \lambda\alpha$ for some $\lambda \geq 1$. Since $\mathbf{C} \preceq 2d\mathbf{I}$, $\lambda\alpha = \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq 2nd$. Also, $\alpha \geq nd$. Hence, $\lambda \leq 2$.

Let $S := \{i : \mathbf{X}_{ii} \geq \lambda\}$. Let $k := \sum_{i \in S} \mathbf{X}_{ii}$.

If $k \geq \delta_1 n$ for some constant δ_1 , set $x_i = \frac{\lambda\alpha}{k} \forall i \in S$ and

$x_i = 0 \forall i \notin S$. Then $\sum_i x_i = |S| \frac{\lambda\alpha}{k} \leq \alpha$ since

$k \geq \sum_i \mathbf{X}_{ii} \geq \lambda|S|$. Then

$$\sum_i x_i \mathbf{X}_{ii} - \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} = \frac{\lambda\alpha}{k} \sum_{i \in S} \mathbf{X}_{ii} - \lambda\alpha \geq 0.$$

Approximating MAXCUT SDP for d -regular graphs:

Description of ORACLE

3. Otherwise, we construct a feasible primal solution \mathbf{X} of value $\geq (1 - \delta)\alpha$. Let \mathbf{v}_i be Cholesky decomposition of \mathbf{X} . Set $\mathbf{v}'_i := \mathbf{v}_i$ for $i \notin S$ and $\mathbf{v}'_i = \mathbf{v}_0$ for $i \in S$, for some fixed unit vector \mathbf{v}_0 . Let $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ be the Gram matrix of \mathbf{v}' . Let E_S be the set of edges with at least one endpoint in S . We have $\mathbf{C} \bullet (\tilde{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{X}) \geq -\sum_{\{i,j\} \in E_S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E_S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 &\leq \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E_S} 2[\|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_j\|^2] \\ &\leq 2d \sum_{i \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 + 2d\lambda|S| \leq 4dk \leq 4\delta_1 nd \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbf{C} \bullet \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \geq \lambda\alpha - 4\delta_1 nd$. For error parameter δ , choose $\delta_1 \leq \frac{\delta\lambda}{4}$ to lower bound RHS by $(1 - \delta)\lambda\alpha$. So $\mathbf{X}^* = \frac{1}{\lambda}\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is feasible with value $\geq (1 - \delta)\alpha$.

Primal-Dual approach: Extension to minimization problems

- ▶ ORACLE finds a vector \mathbf{y} from the polytope $\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \{\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{y} \geq \alpha\}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^m (\mathbf{A}_j \bullet \mathbf{X}) y_j - (\mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X}) < 0$.
- ▶ Matrix exponentiation is computed with base $(1 + \epsilon)$ rather than $(1 - \epsilon)$.
- ▶ Allow ORACLE to find a matrix $\mathbf{F}^{(t)}$ such that for all primal feasible \mathbf{X} , $\mathbf{F}^{(t)} \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X}$ and a vector $\mathbf{y}^{(t)} \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m (\mathbf{A}_j \bullet \mathbf{X}^{(t)}) y_j^{(t)} - (\mathbf{F}^{(t)} \bullet \mathbf{X}) \leq 0$$

- ▶ We can replace \mathbf{C} by $\mathbf{F}^{(t)}$ (which can be decided by us). If $\mathbf{F}^{(t)} \preceq \mathbf{C}$, then since any primal feasible \mathbf{X} is PSD, we have $\mathbf{F}^{(t)} \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X}$. So it suffices to find $\mathbf{F}^{(t)} \preceq \mathbf{C}$.
 - ▶ This is done to reduce the width parameter, ρ .
- ▶ $M := (\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{A}_j y_j^{(t)} - \mathbf{F}^{(t)} + \rho \mathbf{I}) / 2\rho$

Primal-Dual approach: Extension to minimization problems

Theorem

In the modified Primal-Dual Algorithm for a minimization SDP as described in the previous slide, if the ORACLE never fails for $T = \frac{8\rho^2 R^2 \ln(n)}{\delta^2 \alpha^2}$ iterations, then $\bar{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\delta\alpha}{R} \mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{y}^{(t)}$ is a feasible dual solution with dual objective value at least $(1 - \delta)\alpha$.

Proof.

Similar to maximization theorem's proof. □

Matrix exponentiation

$$e^{\mathbf{M}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{M}^i}{i!} = \mathbf{I} + \frac{\mathbf{M}}{1} + \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2!} + \dots$$

- ▶ $e^{\mathbf{0}} = \mathbf{I}$
- ▶ $e^{a\mathbf{X}} e^{b\mathbf{X}} = e^{(a+b)\mathbf{X}}$ where a and b are reals
- ▶ $\exp(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \neq \exp(\mathbf{A}) + \exp(\mathbf{B})$ in general.
- ▶ $\exp(\mathbf{A}^T) = (\exp \mathbf{A})^T$
- ▶ $\exp(\mathbf{A})$ is PSD for all symmetric A since $\exp(\mathbf{A}) = \exp(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A})^T \exp(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A})$.
- ▶ Cholesky decomposition of $\exp(\mathbf{A})$ is $\exp(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A})$.
- ▶ Golden-Thompson Inequality:
 $\text{Tr} \exp(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \leq \text{Tr} (\exp(\mathbf{A}) \exp(\mathbf{B}))$

Outline

Primal-Dual Schema

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Extension to SDP

Application to MAXCUT

Problems

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Undirected SPARSEST CUT

References

Appendix

Outline

Primal-Dual Schema

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Extension to SDP

Application to MAXCUT

Problems

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Undirected SPARSEST CUT

References

Appendix

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Undirected Balanced Separator Problem

Given a graph $G(V, E)$ with $|V| = n$, $|E| = m$, and capacity c_e on edge $e \in E$, find the c -balanced cut with minimum capacity. A cut (S, \bar{S}) is called c -balanced if $|S| \geq cn$ and $|\bar{S}| \geq cn$.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Undirected Balanced Separator Problem

Given a graph $G(V, E)$ with $|V| = n$, $|E| = m$, and capacity c_e on edge $e \in E$, find the c -balanced cut with minimum capacity. A cut (S, \bar{S}) is called c -balanced if $|S| \geq cn$ and $|\bar{S}| \geq cn$.

t pseudo-approximation

A t pseudo-approximation for minimum c -BALANCED SEPARATOR problem is a c' -balanced cut for some constant c' whose expansion is within a factor of t of that of minimum c -BALANCED SEPARATOR ($c' \leq ct$).

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Undirected Balanced Separator Problem

Given a graph $G(V, E)$ with $|V| = n$, $|E| = m$, and capacity c_e on edge $e \in E$, find the c -balanced cut with minimum capacity. A cut (S, \bar{S}) is called c -balanced if $|S| \geq cn$ and $|\bar{S}| \geq cn$.

Theorem

An $O(\log n)$ pseudo-approximation to the minimum c -BALANCED SEPARATOR can be computed in $\tilde{O}(m + n^{1.5})$ time using $O(\log^2(n))$ single commodity flow computations.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

SDP

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{e=\{i,j\} \in E} c_e \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \\ & \forall i : \|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 = 1 \\ \forall p : \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \|\mathbf{v}_{i_j} - \mathbf{v}_{i_{j+1}}\|^2 \geq \|\mathbf{v}_{i_1} - \mathbf{v}_{i_k}\|^2 \\ \forall S : \quad & \sum_{i,j \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq an^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \\ & \forall i : \mathbf{X}_{ii} = 1 \\ \forall p : \quad & \mathbf{T}_p \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq 0 \\ \forall S : \quad & \mathbf{K}_S \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq an^2 \\ & \mathbf{X} \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Notations

- ▶ Assign vectors \mathbf{v}_i to nodes in G . Let \mathbf{X} be the Gram matrix of these vectors.
- ▶ \mathbf{C} is the Combinatorial Laplacian of the graph.
- ▶ For any subset S of the nodes, \mathbf{K}_S is defined to be the Laplacian of the graph where all nodes in S are connected by edges, all other edges are absent.
- ▶ $|S| \geq (1 - \epsilon)n$
- ▶ For a generic path $p = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ of nodes in the complete graph, \mathbf{T}_p is the difference of the Laplacian of p and that of a single edge connecting its endpoints i_1 and i_k .
- ▶ $a = 4[c(1 - c) - \epsilon]$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Dual Program

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_i x_i + an^2 \sum_S z_S \\ \mathbf{C} \succeq \quad & \text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_p f_p \mathbf{T}_p + \sum_S z_S \mathbf{K}_S \\ & \forall p, S : f_p, z_S \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Notations

- ▶ Variable x_i for every node i , f_p for every path p and z_S for every set S of size at least $(1 - \epsilon)n$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

- ▶ Let α be the current guess of the solution.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

- ▶ Let α be the current guess of the solution.
- ▶ Let \mathbf{X} be the current solution generated by the Primal-Dual algorithm.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

- ▶ Let α be the current guess of the solution.
- ▶ Let \mathbf{X} be the current solution generated by the Primal-Dual algorithm.
- ▶ $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}) = n$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

- ▶ Let α be the current guess of the solution.
- ▶ Let \mathbf{X} be the current solution generated by the Primal-Dual algorithm.
- ▶ $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}) = n$
- ▶ Using *MWT* Theorem for minimization problems, ORACLE needs to find variables $x_i, f_p \geq 0, z_S \geq 0$ and a matrix $\mathbf{F} \preceq \mathbf{C}$ such that $\sum_i x_i + an^2 \sum_S z_S \geq \alpha$ and
$$\text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) \bullet \mathbf{X} + \sum_p f_p (\mathbf{T}_p \bullet \mathbf{X}) + \sum_S z_S (\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{X}) - (\mathbf{F} \bullet \mathbf{X}) \leq 0$$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

- ▶ Let α be the current guess of the solution.
- ▶ Let \mathbf{X} be the current solution generated by the Primal-Dual algorithm.
- ▶ $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}) = n$
- ▶ Using *MWT* Theorem for minimization problems, ORACLE needs to find variables $x_i, f_p \geq 0, z_S \geq 0$ and a matrix $\mathbf{F} \preceq \mathbf{C}$ such that $\sum_i x_i + an^2 \sum_S z_S \geq \alpha$ and
$$\text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) \bullet \mathbf{X} + \sum_p f_p (\mathbf{T}_p \bullet \mathbf{X}) + \sum_S z_S (\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{X}) - (\mathbf{F} \bullet \mathbf{X}) \leq 0$$
- ▶ If the ORACLE succeeds, then the matrix returned as feedback is
$$\mathbf{M} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_p f_p \mathbf{T}_p + \sum_S z_S \mathbf{K}_S - \mathbf{F}$$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

- ▶ Let α be the current guess of the solution.
- ▶ Let \mathbf{X} be the current solution generated by the Primal-Dual algorithm.
- ▶ $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}) = n$
- ▶ Using *MWT* Theorem for minimization problems, ORACLE needs to find variables $x_i, f_p \geq 0, z_S \geq 0$ and a matrix $\mathbf{F} \preceq \mathbf{C}$ such that $\sum_i x_i + an^2 \sum_S z_S \geq \alpha$ and
$$\text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) \bullet \mathbf{X} + \sum_p f_p (\mathbf{T}_p \bullet \mathbf{X}) + \sum_S z_S (\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{X}) - (\mathbf{F} \bullet \mathbf{X}) \leq 0$$
- ▶ If the ORACLE succeeds, then the matrix returned as feedback is $\mathbf{M} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_p f_p \mathbf{T}_p + \sum_S z_S \mathbf{K}_S - \mathbf{F}$
- ▶ ORACLE needs to ensure a width of $\tilde{O}(\frac{\alpha}{n})$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Implementation: Basic Idea

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Implementation: Basic Idea

- ▶ Given a candidate solution \mathbf{X} , check if all \mathbf{X}_{ij} are $O(1)$.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Implementation: Basic Idea

- ▶ Given a candidate solution \mathbf{X} , check if all \mathbf{X}_{ij} are $O(1)$.
 - ▶ If a significant fraction of them aren't, punish \mathbf{X} by setting x_i in a similar way as in MAX-CUT

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Implementation: Basic Idea

- ▶ Given a candidate solution \mathbf{X} , check if all \mathbf{X}_{ij} are $O(1)$.
 - ▶ If a significant fraction of them aren't, punish \mathbf{X} by setting x_i in a similar way as in MAX-CUT
- ▶ Check if $\mathbf{K}_V \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq \Omega(n^2)$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Implementation: Basic Idea

- ▶ Given a candidate solution \mathbf{X} , check if all \mathbf{X}_{ij} are $O(1)$.
 - ▶ If a significant fraction of them aren't, punish \mathbf{X} by setting x_i in a similar way as in MAX-CUT
- ▶ Check if $\mathbf{K}_V \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq \Omega(n^2)$
 - ▶ If not, set z_S appropriately to punish \mathbf{X} .

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Implementation: Basic Idea

- ▶ Given a candidate solution \mathbf{X} , check if all \mathbf{X}_{ij} are $O(1)$.
 - ▶ If a significant fraction of them aren't, punish \mathbf{X} by setting x_i in a similar way as in MAX-CUT
- ▶ Check if $\mathbf{K}_V \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq \Omega(n^2)$
 - ▶ If not, set z_S appropriately to punish \mathbf{X} .
- ▶ If both the above conditions are satisfied, do a flow computation and interpret f_p variables as multicommodity flow in the graph.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Lemma 1

For at least a $\frac{a}{32}$ fraction of directions \mathbf{u} , there are efficiently computable sets S and T , each of size at least $\frac{a}{128n}$, such that for any $i \in S$ and $j \in T$, $(\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_i) \cdot \mathbf{u} \geq \frac{a}{48\sqrt{n}}$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Lemma 1

For at least a $\frac{a}{32}$ fraction of directions \mathbf{u} , there are efficiently computable sets S and T , each of size at least $\frac{a}{128n}$, such that for any $i \in S$ and $j \in T$, $(\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_i) \cdot \mathbf{u} \geq \frac{a}{48\sqrt{n}}$

Proof Idea

Consider the Gaussian behaviour of projections on a random vector \mathbf{u} the median value of $\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{u} = m$

$$S = \{i : \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{u} \leq m - \delta\}$$

$$T = \{i : \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{u} \geq m\}$$

$$\delta = \frac{a}{48\sqrt{n}}$$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Lemma 2

Let $S \subseteq V$ be a set of nodes of size $\Omega(n)$. Suppose for all $i \in S$, vectors \mathbf{v}_i of length $O(1)$ are given such that

$\sum_{i,j \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \Omega(n^2)$, and a quantity α . Then there is an algorithm, which, using a single max-flow computation, either outputs a valid $O(\frac{\log(n)\alpha}{n})$ -regular flow f_p such that

$\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$, or a c' -balanced cut of expansion $O(\log(n)\frac{\alpha}{n})$.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Lemma 2

Let $S \subseteq V$ be a set of nodes of size $\Omega(n)$. Suppose for all $i \in S$, vectors \mathbf{v}_i of length $O(1)$ are given such that

$\sum_{i,j \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \Omega(n^2)$, and a quantity α . Then there is an algorithm, which, using a single max-flow computation, either outputs a valid $O(\frac{\log(n)\alpha}{n})$ -regular flow f_p such that

$\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$, or a c' -balanced cut of expansion $O(\log(n)\frac{\alpha}{n})$.

Proof Idea

Using Lemma 1

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

Given a candidate solution \mathbf{X} , the ORACLE runs the following steps (set all unspecified variables, including \mathbf{F} to 0)

1. Assume, WLOG, $\mathbf{X}_{11} \leq \mathbf{X}_{22} \leq \dots \leq \mathbf{X}_{nn}$. Define $h = (1 - \epsilon)n + 1$. If $\mathbf{X}_{hh} \geq 2$, set $x_i = -\frac{\alpha}{\epsilon n}$ for $i \geq k$ and $x_i = \frac{2\alpha}{(1-\epsilon)n}$ for $i < k$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) \bullet \mathbf{X} &= \sum_{i \geq k} -\frac{\alpha}{\epsilon n} \mathbf{X}_{ii} + \sum_{i < k} \frac{2\alpha}{(1-\epsilon)n} \mathbf{X}_{ii} \\ &\leq -\frac{\alpha}{\epsilon n} \cdot 2 \cdot \epsilon n + \frac{2\alpha}{(1-\epsilon)n} \cdot (n - 2\epsilon n) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since all $x_i = O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$, $\|\text{diag}(\mathbf{x})\| \leq O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

Given a candidate solution \mathbf{X} , the ORACLE runs the following steps (set all unspecified variables, including \mathbf{F} to 0)

2. Assume that for all but ϵn exceptional nodes i , $\mathbf{X}_{ii} \leq 2$. Let $W := \{i : \mathbf{X}_{ii} \leq 2\}$ and $S := V \setminus W$. Since $|S| \geq (1 - \epsilon)n$ so we have $\mathbf{K}_S \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq an^2$ in the SDP. If $\mathbf{K}_S \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq \frac{an^2}{2}$, choose $z_S = \frac{2\alpha}{an^2}$ and all $x_i = -\frac{\alpha}{n}$. Then,

$$\left(-\frac{\alpha}{n} \mathbf{I} + \frac{2\alpha}{an^2} \mathbf{K}_S \right) \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq \alpha - \alpha = 0$$

Since, $\mathbf{0} \preceq \mathbf{K}_S \preceq n\mathbf{I}$, $\| -\frac{\alpha}{n} \mathbf{I} + \frac{2\alpha}{an^2} \mathbf{K}_S \| \leq O\left(\frac{\alpha}{n}\right)$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

Given a candidate solution \mathbf{X} , the ORACLE runs the following steps (set all unspecified variables, including \mathbf{F} to 0)

3. Assume $\mathbf{K}_S \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq \frac{an^2}{2}$, and $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ be the vectors obtained from the Cholesky decomposition of \mathbf{X} . For all nodes $i \in S$, $\|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 \leq 2$. Also, $\mathbf{K}_S \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq \frac{an^2}{2}$ implies

$$\sum_{i,j \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \frac{an^2}{2}.$$

Try satisfying path inequalities by using multicommodity flow and Lemma 2 (either we can find a nice flow which gives substantial feedback or a cut with desired expansion, i.e, a near-optimal integral solution).

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description : Notations

- ▶ f_p is the flow on a path p .
- ▶ f_e is the flow on edge e ; $f_e := \sum_{p \ni e} f_p$.
- ▶ f_i is the total flow through a node; $f_i = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_i} f_p$ where \mathcal{P}_i is the set of paths starting from i .
- ▶ f_{ij} is total flow between nodes i, j ; $f_{ij} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{ij}} f_p$ where \mathcal{P}_{ij} is the set of paths from i to j .
- ▶ A valid d -regular flow satisfies the following constraints:
 - ▶ $\forall e : f_e \leq c_e$
 - ▶ $\forall i : f_i \leq d$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ Apply Lemma 2 to set S .

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ Apply Lemma 2 to set S .
- ▶ If a cut of desired expansion is found, stop.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ Apply Lemma 2 to set S .
- ▶ If a cut of desired expansion is found, stop.
- ▶ If a valid d -regular flow is obtained which satisfies $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$, where $d = O(\frac{\log(n)\alpha}{n})$.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ Apply Lemma 2 to set S .
- ▶ If a cut of desired expansion is found, stop.
- ▶ If a valid d -regular flow is obtained which satisfies $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$, where $d = O(\frac{\log(n)\alpha}{n})$.
 - ▶ $F :=$ Laplacian of the weighed graph with edge weights f_e .

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ Apply Lemma 2 to set S .
- ▶ If a cut of desired expansion is found, stop.
- ▶ If a valid d -regular flow is obtained which satisfies $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$, where $d = O(\frac{\log(n)\alpha}{n})$.
 - ▶ $F :=$ Laplacian of the weighed graph with edge weights f_e .
 - ▶ Capacity constraints $f_e \leq c_e$ imply that $\mathbf{F} \preceq \mathbf{C}$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ Apply Lemma 2 to set S .
- ▶ If a cut of desired expansion is found, stop.
- ▶ If a valid d -regular flow is obtained which satisfies $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$, where $d = O\left(\frac{\log(n)\alpha}{n}\right)$.
 - ▶ $F :=$ Laplacian of the weighed graph with edge weights f_e .
 - ▶ Capacity constraints $f_e \leq c_e$ imply that $\mathbf{F} \preceq \mathbf{C}$
 - ▶ $D :=$ Laplacian of the complete graph where only edges $\{i, j\}$ with $i \in S$ and $j \in T$ have weight f_{ij} and rest have 0 weight.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ Apply Lemma 2 to set S .
- ▶ If a cut of desired expansion is found, stop.
- ▶ If a valid d -regular flow is obtained which satisfies $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$, where $d = O(\frac{\log(n)\alpha}{n})$.
 - ▶ $F :=$ Laplacian of the weighed graph with edge weights f_e .
 - ▶ Capacity constraints $f_e \leq c_e$ imply that $\mathbf{F} \preceq \mathbf{C}$
 - ▶ $D :=$ Laplacian of the complete graph where only edges $\{i, j\}$ with $i \in S$ and $j \in T$ have weight f_{ij} and rest have 0 weight.
 - ▶ $\mathbf{D} \bullet \mathbf{X} = \sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq 2\alpha$ (Using Lemma 2).

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ Set all $x_i = \frac{\alpha}{n}$, and all $z_S = 0$.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ Set all $x_i = \frac{\alpha}{n}$, and all $z_S = 0$.
- ▶ $\sum_p f_p \mathbf{T}_p = \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{D}$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ Set all $x_i = \frac{\alpha}{n}$, and all $z_S = 0$.
- ▶ $\sum_p f_p \mathbf{T}_p = \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{D}$
- ▶ Thus the feedback matrix becomes

$$\text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{F} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{D}$$

Then $(\frac{\alpha}{n}\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}) \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq \alpha - \alpha = 0$.

Also, since the flow is d -regular, $\mathbf{0} \preceq \mathbf{D} \preceq 2d\mathbf{I}$. Hence,

$$-2d\mathbf{I} \preceq \frac{\alpha}{n}\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D} \preceq \frac{\alpha}{n}\mathbf{I}$$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Time Complexity Analysis

- ▶ Assume that graph is preprocessed using algorithm of Benczúr and Karger
- ▶ $\rho = O\left(\frac{\log(n)^\alpha}{n}\right)$ and $R = n$. Thus the number of iterations from Theorem 1 is $O(\log^3(n))$.
- ▶ Each iteration involves at most one max-flow computation which can be done by Goldberg and Rao's algorithm in $\tilde{O}(n^{1.5})$ time since there are $O(n)$ edges.
- ▶ We also compute, in each iteration, an approximation of Cholesky decomposition of the matrix exponential by projecting on a random $O(\log n)$ dimensional subspace. Since there are only $O(\log^3(n))$ iterations and each iteration adds at most $\tilde{O}(n^{1.5})$ demand pairs in the max-flow computation, the matrix exponential has only $\tilde{O}(n^{1.5})$ non-zero entries and can be computed in $\tilde{O}(n^{1.5})$ time.
- ▶ Thus running time is $\tilde{O}(m + n^{1.5})$

Outline

Primal-Dual Schema

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Extension to SDP

Application to MAXCUT

Problems

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Undirected SPARSEST CUT

References

Appendix

Undirected SPARSEST CUT

Undirected Sparsest Cut Problem

Given a graph $G(V, E)$ with $|V| = n, |E| = m$, and capacity c_e on edge $e \in E$, find the cut (S, \bar{S}) with minimum expansion,

$$\frac{E(S, \bar{S})}{\min\{|S|, |\bar{S}|\}}$$

Undirected SPARSEST CUT

Undirected Sparsest Cut Problem

Given a graph $G(V, E)$ with $|V| = n$, $|E| = m$, and capacity c_e on edge $e \in E$, find the cut (S, \bar{S}) with minimum expansion,

$$\frac{E(S, \bar{S})}{\min\{|S|, |\bar{S}|\}}$$

Theorem

An $O(\log n)$ pseudo-approximation to the SPARSEST CUT can be computed in $\tilde{O}(m + n^{1.5})$ time using $O(\log^2(n))$ single commodity flow computations.

Undirected SPARSEST CUT

SDP

$$\min \sum_{e=\{i,j\} \in E} c_e \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2$$

$$\forall p : \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \|\mathbf{v}_{i_j} - \mathbf{v}_{i_{j+1}}\|^2 \geq \|\mathbf{v}_{i_1} - \mathbf{v}_{i_k}\|^2$$

$$\left\| \sum_i \mathbf{v}_i \right\|^2 = 0$$

$$\sum_i \|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 = n$$

$\min \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X}$

$$\forall p : \mathbf{T}_p \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq 0$$

$$\mathbf{J} \bullet \mathbf{X} = 0$$

$$\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}) = n$$

$$\mathbf{X} \succeq 0$$

\mathbf{J} is the all ones matrix.

Undirected SPARSEST CUT

SDP

$$\begin{aligned} \min \mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X} \\ \forall p : \mathbf{T}_p \bullet \mathbf{X} &\geq 0 \\ \mathbf{J} \bullet \mathbf{X} &= 0 \\ \text{Tr}(\mathbf{X}) &= n \\ \mathbf{X} &\succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

\mathbf{J} is the all ones matrix.

Dual Program

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & nx \\ \mathbf{x}\mathbf{I} + \sum_p f_p \mathbf{T}_p + z\mathbf{J} &\preceq \mathbf{C} \\ \forall p : f_p &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

Lemma 3

Given for all $i \in V$, vectors \mathbf{v}_i , such that for some constant δ_1 , $n^2 \geq \sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$, and a quantity α . Then there is an algorithm, which, using a single max-flow computation, outputs either,

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

Lemma 3

Given for all $i \in V$, vectors \mathbf{v}_i , such that for some constant δ_1 , $n^2 \geq \sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$, and a quantity α . Then there is an algorithm, which, using a single max-flow computation, outputs either,

1. a valid $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$ -regular flow f_p , such that $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$,
or,

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

Lemma 3

Given for all $i \in V$, vectors \mathbf{v}_i , such that for some constant δ_1 , $n^2 \geq \sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$, and a quantity α . Then there is an algorithm, which, using a single max-flow computation, outputs either,

1. a valid $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$ -regular flow f_p , such that $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$,
or,
2. a cut of expansion $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$, or,

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

Lemma 3

Given for all $i \in V$, vectors \mathbf{v}_i , such that for some constant δ_1 , $n^2 \geq \sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$, and a quantity α . Then there is an algorithm, which, using a single max-flow computation, outputs either,

1. a valid $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$ -regular flow f_p , such that $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$,
or,
2. a cut of expansion $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$, or,
3. a set of nodes $S \subseteq V$ of size $\Omega(n)$, such that for all $i \in S$, $\|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 = O(1)$, $\sum_{i,j \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \Omega(n^2)$

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

ORACLE Description

Given a candidate solution \mathbf{X} , the oracle always sets $x = \frac{\alpha}{n}$. Since $\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{X} = \alpha$, it now needs to find f_p, z and $\mathbf{F} \preceq \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\alpha + \sum_p f_p(\mathbf{T}_p \bullet \mathbf{X}) + z(\mathbf{J} \bullet \mathbf{X}) - (\mathbf{F} \bullet \mathbf{X}) \leq 0$$

It runs the following steps:

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

ORACLE Description

Given a candidate solution \mathbf{X} , the oracle always sets $x = \frac{\alpha}{n}$. Since $x\mathbf{I} \bullet \mathbf{X} = \alpha$, it now needs to find f_p, z and $\mathbf{F} \preceq \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\alpha + \sum_p f_p(\mathbf{T}_p \bullet \mathbf{X}) + z(\mathbf{J} \bullet \mathbf{X}) - (\mathbf{F} \bullet \mathbf{X}) \leq 0$$

It runs the following steps:

1. If $\mathbf{J} \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq \delta_1 n^2$, for some small constant δ_1 , then set $z = -\frac{\alpha}{\delta_1 n^2}$, so that $z(\mathbf{J} \bullet \mathbf{X}) \leq -\alpha$. Also, $\|\frac{\alpha}{n}\mathbf{I} - z\mathbf{J}\| \leq O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

ORACLE Description

Given a candidate solution \mathbf{X} , the oracle always sets $x = \frac{\alpha}{n}$. Since $x\mathbf{1} \bullet \mathbf{X} = \alpha$, it now needs to find f_p, z and $\mathbf{F} \preceq \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\alpha + \sum_p f_p(\mathbf{T}_p \bullet \mathbf{X}) + z(\mathbf{J} \bullet \mathbf{X}) - (\mathbf{F} \bullet \mathbf{X}) \leq 0$$

It runs the following steps:

1. If $\mathbf{J} \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq \delta_1 n^2$, for some small constant δ_1 , then set $z = -\frac{\alpha}{\delta_1 n^2}$, so that $z(\mathbf{J} \bullet \mathbf{X}) \leq -\alpha$. Also, $\|\frac{\alpha}{n}\mathbf{1} - z\mathbf{J}\| \leq O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$
2. Assume $\mathbf{J} \bullet \mathbf{X} \leq \delta_1 n^2$ and $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ be the vectors obtained from the Cholesky decomposition of \mathbf{X} .
 $\mathbf{J} \bullet \mathbf{X} \implies n^2 \geq \sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$. Apply lemma 3.

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

ORACLE Description

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ If from the previous step, a cut of expansion $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$ is obtained, output it.

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ If from the previous step, a cut of expansion $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$ is obtained, output it.
- ▶ If we get a flow f_p such that $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$, define \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{D} to be the flow and demand graph Laplacians respectively and proceed as in step 3 of undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR.

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ If from the previous step, a cut of expansion $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$ is obtained, output it.
- ▶ If we get a flow f_p such that $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$, define \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{D} to be the flow and demand graph Laplacians respectively and proceed as in step 3 of undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR.
- ▶ Finally if a set of nodes $S \subseteq V$ of size $\Omega(n)$ is obtained, such that for all $i \in S$, $\|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 = O(1)$ and $\sum_{i,j \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \Omega(n^2)$, apply lemma 1 to S .

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ If from the previous step, a cut of expansion $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$ is obtained, output it.
- ▶ If we get a flow f_p such that $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$, define \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{D} to be the flow and demand graph Laplacians respectively and proceed as in step 3 of undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR.
- ▶ Finally if a set of nodes $S \subseteq V$ of size $\Omega(n)$ is obtained, such that for all $i \in S$, $\|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 = O(1)$ and $\sum_{i,j \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \Omega(n^2)$, apply lemma 1 to S .
 - ▶ If a cut of small expansion is obtained, stop.

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

ORACLE Description

- ▶ If from the previous step, a cut of expansion $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$ is obtained, output it.
- ▶ If we get a flow f_p such that $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$, define \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{D} to be the flow and demand graph Laplacians respectively and proceed as in step 3 of undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR.
- ▶ Finally if a set of nodes $S \subseteq V$ of size $\Omega(n)$ is obtained, such that for all $i \in S$, $\|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 = O(1)$ and $\sum_{i,j \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \Omega(n^2)$, apply lemma 1 to S .
 - ▶ If a cut of small expansion is obtained, stop.
 - ▶ Else if a d -regular flow such that $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$ is obtained, proceed as before.

Outline

Primal-Dual Schema

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Extension to SDP

Application to MAXCUT

Problems

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Undirected SPARSEST CUT

References

Appendix

References

1. Arora, Sanjeev, and Satyen Kale. "A combinatorial, primal-dual approach to semidefinite programs." *Proceedings of the thirty-ninth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*. ACM, 2007.
2. Vazirani, Vijay V. *Approximation algorithms*. springer, 2001.
3. Arora, Sanjeev, Elad Hazan, and Satyen Kale. "The Multiplicative Weights Update Method: a Meta-Algorithm and Applications." *Theory of Computing* 8.1 (2012): 121-164.
4. Arora, Sanjeev, Elad Hazan, and Satyen Kale. "Fast algorithms for approximate semidefinite programming using the multiplicative weights update method." *Foundations of Computer Science, 2005. FOCS 2005. 46th Annual IEEE Symposium on*. IEEE, 2005.

Questions???

Outline

Primal-Dual Schema

Primal-Dual Schema for LP

Extension to SDP

Application to MAXCUT

Problems

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR

Undirected SPARSEST CUT

References

Appendix

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Lemma 1

For at least a $\frac{a}{32}$ fraction of directions \mathbf{u} , there are efficiently computable sets S and T , each of size at least $\frac{a}{128n}$, such that for any $i \in S$ and $j \in T$, $((\mathbf{v})_j - \mathbf{v}_i) \cdot \mathbf{u} \geq \frac{a}{48\sqrt{n}}$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Lemma 1: Proof

- ▶ Since $\sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq an^2$ and $\|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\| \leq 2$,
 $\sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\| \geq \frac{a}{2}n^2$.
- ▶ Thus for any node i ,

$$\frac{a}{2}n^2 \leq \sum_{jk} \|\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_k\| \leq \sum_{jk} \|\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_i\| + \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_k\| \leq n \sum_j \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|$$

So,

$$\sum_j \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\| \geq \frac{a}{2}n$$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Lemma 1: Proof

- ▶ Since the maximum value of $\|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|$ is 2, there must be at least $\frac{a}{8}n$ nodes i such that $\|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\| \geq \frac{a}{4}$.
- ▶ Define a *stretched pair* as a pair of nodes i, j , such that $|\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_j \cdot \mathbf{u}| \geq \frac{a}{24\sqrt{n}}$. The Gaussian nature of projections guarantees that this occurs with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. Thus,

$$E[\#\text{stretched pairs}] \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{a}{8}n \cdot n = \frac{a}{32}n^2$$

- ▶ Since there are at most $\frac{1}{2}n^2$ pairs, for at least $\frac{a}{32}$ fraction of directions \mathbf{u} , we have $\frac{a}{64}n^2$ stretched pairs.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Lemma 1: Proof

- ▶ Let \mathbf{u} be such a direction. Define $\delta = \frac{a}{48\sqrt{n}}$ and m to be the median value of $\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}$
- ▶ Define sets $L = \{i : \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{u} \leq m - \delta\}$,
 $M^- = \{i : \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{u} \in [m - \delta, m]\}$,
 $M^+ = \{i : \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{u} \in [m, m + \delta]\}$, $R = \{i : \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{u} \geq m + \delta\}$.
Thus, any stretched pair has at least one node in $L \cup R$.
- ▶ At least one of L or R has size at least $\frac{a}{128}n$, as otherwise the number of stretched pairs is less than $2 \cdot \frac{a}{128}n \cdot n = \frac{a}{64}n$ (contradiction).
- ▶ If $|L| \geq \frac{a}{128}n$, set $S = L$, $T = M^+ \cup R$.
- ▶ $|T| \geq \frac{n}{2}$ as T is the set of all points with projection higher than median.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Lemma 2

Let $S \subseteq V$ be a set of nodes of size $\Omega(n)$. Suppose for all $i \in S$, vectors \mathbf{v}_i of length $O(1)$ are given such that

$\sum_{i,j \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \Omega(n^2)$, and a quantity α . Then there is an algorithm, which, using a single max-flow computation, either

outputs a valid $O(\frac{\log(n)\alpha}{n})$ -regular flow f_p such that

$\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$, or a c' -balanced cut of expansion $O(\log(n)\frac{\alpha}{n})$.

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Lemma 2 : Proof

- ▶ What we seek: A d -regular flow f_p for $d := \frac{\beta \log(n) \cdot \alpha}{n}$ where β is a sufficiently large constant.
- ▶ Choose a direction represented by a unit vector \mathbf{u} at random.
- ▶ Since $\mathbf{K}_S \bullet \mathbf{X} \geq \Omega n^2$, thus $\sum_{i,j \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \Omega n^2$
- ▶ Using Lemma 1, we can find sets S and T of size cn each, for some constant $c > 0$, such that for all $i \in S$ and $j \in T$, we have $(\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_i) \cdot \mathbf{u} \geq \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ for some constant $\sigma > 0$
- ▶ Using Gaussian nature of projections, with very high probability, for any pair of nodes i, j we have $|(\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_i) \cdot \mathbf{u}| \leq O(\sqrt{\log(n)}) \cdot \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|}{\sqrt{n}}$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Lemma 2 : Proof

- ▶ Thus with constant probability, we get sets S and T such that for all nodes $i \in S$ and $j \in T$, we have $\|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \frac{\gamma}{\log(n)}$ for some constant $\gamma > 0$
- ▶ If this is the case, connect all nodes in S to a single source and all nodes in T to a single sink with edges of capacity d each. Let f_p be the max flow in this network. Suppose the total flow obtained is at least $\frac{c\beta}{2} \log(n) \cdot \alpha$. Assume that all flow originates from a node $i \in S$ and ends at some node $j \in T$. Then,

$$\sum_{i \in S, j \in T} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \frac{c\beta}{2} \log(n) \cdot \alpha \times \frac{\gamma}{\log(n)} = 2\alpha$$

$$\text{if } \beta = \frac{4}{c\gamma}$$

Undirected BALANCED SEPARATOR : Oracle

Lemma 2 : Proof

- ▶ If the total flow obtained is less than $\frac{c\beta}{2} \log(n) \cdot \alpha$, by max-flow-min-cut theorem, the cut obtained is also at most this size. This is $c/2$ -balanced, since at most $\frac{c\beta}{2} \log(n) \cdot \alpha/d = cn/2$ source (and sink) edges can be cut. Thus cut expansion is $O(\log(n) \cdot \frac{\alpha}{n})$

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

Lemma 3

Given for all $i \in V$, vectors \mathbf{v}_i , such that for some constant δ_1 , $n^2 \geq \sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$, and a quantity α . Then there is an algorithm, which, using a single max-flow computation, outputs either,

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

Lemma 3

Given for all $i \in V$, vectors \mathbf{v}_i , such that for some constant δ_1 , $n^2 \geq \sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$, and a quantity α . Then there is an algorithm, which, using a single max-flow computation, outputs either,

1. a valid $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$ -regular flow f_p , such that $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$,
or,

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

Lemma 3

Given for all $i \in V$, vectors \mathbf{v}_i , such that for some constant δ_1 , $n^2 \geq \sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$, and a quantity α . Then there is an algorithm, which, using a single max-flow computation, outputs either,

1. a valid $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$ -regular flow f_p , such that $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$,
or,
2. a cut of expansion $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$, or,

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

Lemma 3

Given for all $i \in V$, vectors \mathbf{v}_i , such that for some constant δ_1 , $n^2 \geq \sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$, and a quantity α . Then there is an algorithm, which, using a single max-flow computation, outputs either,

1. a valid $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$ -regular flow f_p , such that $\sum_{ij} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \alpha$,
or,
2. a cut of expansion $O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$, or,
3. a set of nodes $S \subseteq V$ of size $\Omega(n)$, such that for all $i \in S$, $\|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 = O(1)$, $\sum_{i,j \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \Omega(n^2)$

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

Lemma 3 : Proof

Given vectors \mathbf{v}_i such that $n^2 \geq \sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$, run the following steps,

1. For a node i and radius r , let $B(i, r) = \{j : \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\| \leq r\}$. If there is a node i such that for some constant δ_2 , $|B(i, \delta_2)| \geq n/4$, then any $i_0 \in B(i, \delta_2)$ satisfies $|B(i_0, 2\delta_2)| \geq n/4$. Find such i_0 by random sampling. Define $L = B(i_0, 2\delta_2)$, and $R = V \setminus L$. For $j \in R$, define $d(j, L) = \min_{i \in L} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2$. Then, since $\sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$, $\sum_{j \in R} d(j, L) \geq \frac{n}{10}$ for suitable choice of δ_1, δ_2 . Define $k := \frac{|R|}{|L|}$. $k = O(1)$

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

Lemma 3 : Proof

Given vectors \mathbf{v}_i such that $n^2 \geq \sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$, run the following steps,

1. ...

Connect all nodes in L to a single source with edges of capacity $\frac{10k\alpha}{n}$ and all nodes in R to a single sink with edges of capacity $\frac{10\alpha}{n}$ and compute the max-flow. If the flow saturates all source and sink nodes, then

$$\sum_{i \in L, j \in R} f_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \sum_{j \in R} \frac{10\alpha}{n} \cdot d(j, L) \geq \alpha$$

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

Lemma 3 : Proof

Given vectors \mathbf{v}_i such that $n^2 \geq \sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$, run the following steps,

2. If the flow doesn't saturate all source and sink edges, then let the number of nodes in L in the resulting cut connected to source be n_s and the number of nodes in R connected to the sink be n_t . Then the capacity of the graph edges cut is at most $\frac{10\alpha}{n}(|R| - kn_s - n_t)$, and the smaller side of the cut has at least $\min\{|L| - n_s, |R| - n_t\}$ nodes. Thus, expansion of cut is at most $\frac{10k\alpha}{n} = O(\frac{\alpha}{n})$.

Undirected SPARSEST CUT : Oracle

Lemma 3 : Proof

Given vectors \mathbf{v}_i such that $n^2 \geq \sum_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta_1)n^2$, run the following steps,

3. For all nodes i , let $|B(i, \delta_2)| < n/4$. Then it can be easily checked that there is a node i such that $|B(i, \sqrt{2})| \geq \frac{(1-\delta_1)}{2}n$. Find i_0 , by random sampling, such that $|B(i, 2\sqrt{2})| \geq \frac{(1-\delta_1)}{2}n$. Let $S = B(i, 2\sqrt{2})$. Since for every $i \in S$, $|B(i, \delta_2)| < n/4$, $\sum_{i,j \in S} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq \Omega(n^2)$. Output S .

Matrix Exponentiation

Complexity of computing exponential of a matrix

- ▶ No fast algorithm known. Still an area of active research.
- ▶ Special cases:
 1. Exponential of a diagonal matrix: A diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are exponential of diagonal elements of original matrix.
 2. Projection Matrix ($\mathbf{P}^2 = \mathbf{P}$):
$$\exp(\mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{P}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \dots\right) = \mathbf{I} + (e - 1)\mathbf{P}$$
 3. Nilpotent Matrix ($\mathbf{P}^q = \mathbf{0}$): $\exp(\mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{P} + \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{\mathbf{P}^{q-1}}{(q-1)!}$
- ▶ Other techniques include using Laurent Series, Sylvester's Formula, etc.
- ▶ If \mathbf{Y} is invertible, then $e^{\mathbf{YXY}^{-1}} = \mathbf{Y}e^{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{Y}^{-1}$. This gives a $O(n^3)$ algorithm for matrix exponentiation.

Matrix Exponentiation

- ▶ Idea: only approximate computation suffices.
- ▶ ORACLE finds \mathbf{y} such that $\sum_{j=1}^m (\mathbf{A}_j \bullet \mathbf{X}^{(t)}) y_j - (\mathbf{C} \bullet \mathbf{X}^{(t)}) \geq 0$.
- ▶ Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ be vectors obtained from Cholesky decomposition of $\mathbf{X}^{(t)}$ such that $\mathbf{X}_{ij}^{(t)} = \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = \frac{1}{2} [\|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_j\|^2 - \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2] \geq 0$.
- ▶ ORACLE needs to find appropriate variables s_i and t_{ij} such that $\sum_i s_i \|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 + \sum_{ij} t_{ij} \|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2 \geq 0$.
- ▶ Vectors \mathbf{v}_i obtained from Cholesky decomposition of $\mathbf{X}^{(t)} = \exp(\mathbf{M})$ are simply the row vectors of $\exp(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{M})$.
- ▶ Since we are only interested in norms, we can try Johnson-Lindenstrauss dimension reduction.

Matrix Exponentiation

Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma

Given $0 < \epsilon < 1$, a set X of m points in \mathbb{R}^n and a number $n > 8 \ln m / \epsilon^2$, there is a linear map $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$(1 - \epsilon)\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|^2 \leq \|f(\mathbf{u}) - f(\mathbf{v})\|^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|^2$$

for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in X$.

- ▶ \mathbf{v}_i are the vectors obtained from Cholesky Decomposition of $\mathbf{X}^{(t)}$.
- ▶ Project the vectors \mathbf{v}_i on a random $d = O(\frac{\log n}{\delta^2})$ dimensional subspace, and scale the projections by $\sqrt{\frac{n}{d}}$ to get vectors \mathbf{v}' .
- ▶ With high probability, $\|\mathbf{v}'_i\|^2$ and $\|\mathbf{v}'_i - \mathbf{v}'_j\|^2$ are within $(1 \pm \delta)$ of $\|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2$ and $\|\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j\|^2$.
- ▶ Run ORACLE for \mathbf{X}' in a way that its feedback is also valid for $\mathbf{X}^{(t)}$.